UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,615	12/14/2005	Simon G Thompson	36-1951	5593
23117 7590 08/28/2009 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC EXAMINER				
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	SKINNER, SHEWANA D		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3689	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	10/560,615	THOMPSON ET A	AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	SHEWANA SKINNER	3689					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> uely filed the mailing date of this α ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
1)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application	on No	Chara				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	u.o oominou espisoniot isti	<b>u.</b>					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	. 1					

Art Unit: 3689

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is a Final Action in response to correspondence received in 4/24/2009. Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 have been amended. **Claims 1-7** have been considered below.

## Response to Amendment

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. §112 2nd rejections of Claims 1-4 and 7 have been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment clarifying the claimed invention.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. §101 rejections of Claims 1-7 have been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment adding structural elements to define the apparatus in the apparatus claims and a CPU performing function of the method to the method claim.

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner is rejecting as a 102(b) as anticipated by Aggarwal et al (US 6,151,589). Rejection is detailed below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Examiner is unclear as to how the apparatus, as a structure, is arranged such that

Art Unit: 3689

the range of options for decision is constrained and there is a lack of clear explanation of this element located within the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. **Claims 1-7** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Aggarwal et al*, (US 6,151,589), hereinafter "*Aggarwal*".

Aggarwal discloses the following as claimed:

## Referring to Claims 1 and 4

Aggarwal discloses an apparatus and method comprising a plurality of computer-based agents (Fig 1) where the agent has a CPU connected to a memory (fig 1 and col 4 lines 29-30) and at least one input/output port for connection with a communications network (Fig 1 and ?) where the agents are provided the means to interact to submit and receive bids (fig 2 and col 2 lines 42-51), define the negotiation process (col 2 lines 42-452) and dynamically negotiate col 2 ;lines 64-67 through col 3 lines 1-7).

### Referring to Claims 2 and 5

Aggarwal discloses an apparatus and method that dynamically adjusts the selection method to only allows buyer/agents whose bids are higher that a predetermined amount set by the seller to continue in the negotiation process (col 2 lines 64-67 through col 3 lines 1-2)

Art Unit: 3689

# Referring to Claims 3 and 6

Aggarwal discloses the means for and the generation of a description and purpose of the interactions (Fig 1 and Fig 3 # 310 and col 2 lines 47-53, col 4 lines 35-67 and col 5 lines 15-24)), the means for retrieval (Fig 1 and col 2 lines 44-46 and col 4 lines 26-34) and acting on the descriptions (Fig 3 #320).

### Referring to Claim 7

Aggarwal discloses a computer readable memory medium containing executable computer program, which affects the method of performing computer, based negotiations (Fig 1#5 and col 4 lines 29-34)

#### Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3689

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Conklin et al (US 6,141,653), Godin et al (US 6,266,652), Martin et al (US 6,606,607) and Dinwoodie (US 6,415,269).

Art Unit: 3689

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SHEWANA SKINNER whose telephone number is (571)270-

7141. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mooneyham Janice can be reached on (571)272-6805. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SHEWANA SKINNER/

Examiner, Art Unit 3689

/Janice A. Mooneyham/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689